

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ACCORDING 10
Address: One 10

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/072,587	02/08/2002	Bruce Bower	QT-2002-2-NP 1830		
7	7590 11/07/2003	EXAMINER			
Michael G. Crilly, Esquire			EASTHOM, KARL D		
104 South York Road Hatboro, PA 19040			ART UNIT PAPER NUMI		
,			2832		

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- `		Application	No		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		Application No.							
		10/072,587			BOWER ET AL.				
		Examiner			Art Unit				
		Karl D Easti		boot with the or	2832	let-a-a			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirly (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirly (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 September 2003</u> .								
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims	Ex parte Qua	аут о , т	935 C.D. 11, 40	3 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is; a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5		otice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 10/072,587 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

1. This restriction replaces the previous restriction due to a typographical error that is here corrected. Note that applicant appeared not to have responded to par. 7 repeated next (which may have been due to the original typographical error, shown here in brackets): "In addition, if Species 4 or 5 is elected, each of claims 25-31 represents a separate subspecies, so that one of those claims must be selected if Species [3] --4 or 5-- is elected. NOTE: Applicant claims two claims 25 so that applicant must rewrite and clarify the claims. The first listed claim 25 does not represent a separate subspecies so will be examined with either of Species 4 or 5" Thus if applicant elects Group III and Species 5, applicant should only elect one of claims 25-31 as they represent distinct species.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 4-13, drawn to a current control device, classified in class 338, subclass
 47.
 - II. Claims 14-22, drawn to a pressure switch, classified in class 200, subclass 265.
 - III. Claims 23-31, drawn to current control devices, classified in class 361, subclass103.
 - IV. Claims 1-2, drawn to a composite, classified in class 252, subclass 512
 - V. Claim 3, drawn to a method of making a composite, classified in class 427,
 subclass 58.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions V and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

Application/Control Number: 10/072,587 Page 3

Art Unit: 2832

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a materially different process such as by mixing without employing suffusing within a bath.

- 4. Inventions (I, II, III) and IV (with V related to IV, as above noted, and hence to I) are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the conductive filler. The subcombination has separate utility such as use for measuring weight or force.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for the separate groups are not required for the others, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. IN ADDITION, Within Groups I, II and III, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: The Groups I, II, and III do not appear related as defined in the MPEP, but represent separate species as follows. Species 1 Claims 8-10; Species 2-Claims 11-13. Claim 4 is generic to Species 1 and 2 so that claims 4-7 will be examined with the elected species. Species 3- claims 14-22. In addition, if Species 3

Application/Control Number: 10/072,587

Art Unit: 2832

Page 4

is elected, each of claims 17-22 represents a separate subspecies, so that one of those claims must be selected if Species 3 is elected. Species 4 – Claim 23. Species 5- Claim 24. In addition, if Species 4 or 5 is elected, each of claims 25-31 represents a separate subspecies, so that one of those claims must be selected if Species 4 or 5 is elected. NOTE: Applicant claims two claims 25 so that applicant must rewrite and clarify the claims. The first listed claim 25 does not represent a separate subspecies so will be examined with either of Species 4 or 5.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Art Unit: 2832

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl D Easthorn whose telephone number is 703 308-3306. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 5:30AM-4:00PM.

9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom can be reached on 703 308-1721. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0956.

Karl D Easthom Primary Examiner Art Unit 2832

KDE